G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

73-14

1917

(Mysore)

File No. 3467-6

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

1064-11-5b

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for Nov. 17

SUBJECT.

Archakship of Sri Sri Kantes
wara Swamy temple at
Nanjangud

1051 Dy D.C. Mysore
5.11.17
Fds Copy of the order passed
in the matter

W D 88—GPb—50,000—24-7-18

M. Lakshmanan
Bot VII

G. R. S. 11] 1916.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(muznai)

NOTES.

346-16
File No.

FILE HEADING.

Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud.

From

Devara Dikshit, Archak of the Temple.

No. Petition Dated 27.6.1916 Received

Diary No.	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies. –	Serial No
39			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order directing that as Govt have observed in their order no. 2606-muz.711-4. Dy 2d April 1914, that the claims of the agnates of Lingabhatta, original hakdar Archak of the Temple in question, are barred, nothing can be done by him in this matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The order appealed against is dated 27.3.1916 and the appeal petition, 27th June 1916. The appeal is in time and has been duly stamped. It may be admitted.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB - 20,006—8-8-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 346 of 1916.

Serial No.

P

(Muz 3. no. 711-11 put up).

The facts of the case are clear from S.O. No. 2606 - Muz. J. 711-11-10 Dt 2d. April 1916. The relationship of the appellant to the original Lakshmi Lingabhatt is given at page 11 of the office note - Devan Dikshit is Linga bhatt's father's Dungaiya's younger brother's son.

The grounds for appeal are summarized in the petition.

May the records of the case be called for?

Submitted.

W (Ag)
7.12.1916.

The appeal petn has not been signed by the party. It may it be returned on this account?

(as Govt may or may not accept the appearance of the Advocate).

8/12/16

Yr. Return
Sd
9.12.16

Submitted with an endorsement on the petn for approval.

9.12.16

Return to the advocate who presented it.

Sd
11.12.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 446 of 1916.

Serial No.

Submd. with a Mph. and to forward
MW(Gen) 11.12.16.
ann 12/12/16

Agd
leg
12.12.16

2 No 1506 dy 18.12.16, fr Mr Venkata Ramanaya, Advocate Bangalore, relies the letter for obtaining the party's Signature.

Sig

The appeal petition has been received duly signed by the Advocate. Submd for orders. May the records be called for?
MW(Gen) 22-1-17
ann 23/1/17

There was a Subsequent-Review. Put that up also. Was any notice sent to Devan Dikshit in the original appeal or Review
leg 24.1.17

Mez. 2. No. 73-14 which deals with the subsequent review is put up.

As Devan Dikshit was no party to the previous proceedings, no notice was issued to him from this

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL—70 000—17 12 15

MD 12.3—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 346 of 1916

Serial No.

office either in the orgl application or review.

Submd. for orders.
ann
M (GHS) 26/1/17.
25-1-17

In file no 711 of 1911 relating to the appeal of Subbamma Reddiar as regards the presentation ceremony was actually made about the granting of huzn Bhatta the original Hukdar and orders were sought as to whether they should also be brought on the record. Please see page 12 of the file. No orders were passed apparently because the persons indicated were not parties to the proceedings and their claim if any was obviously barred by limitation and the case was decided without bringing them on record.

Devana Dikshit one of the opponents has now reopened the question and appeals against the order of the Dy Commr dismissing his claim as barred. The Dy Commr's order

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

VD 1203—GPL—60,000—1-12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 346 of 1916.

Serial No.

is based upon the observation of Govt. in their Proceedings of 2nd April 1914 that the claims of all Kings Khattes appeals were barred. The present petition is also therefore in effect a review of the Govt. order and it is pleaded that as the appellant was not a party to the proceedings he is not bound by the Govt. order.

Under these circumstances it would seem the satisfactory to give a hearing to the appellant and once for all decide the question all the parties being impleaded

(1st member). 26. 1. 17

1621/29.1

Submitted with Petn. notices & a Petn. Calling for the entire C. records bearing on the case

7. 2. 17.

8/2/17

No: 2047 of 13/2/17 - Petn. of 9. 2. 17 Sangalwa, calls for Govt. records connected with the case

case argd 8/3/1917.

3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 316 of 191 6

Serial No. 3(a) No. 611 dt 26-2-17, S.C. of Bangalore, lodged here in suit O.S. 17 of 1916 on his file

The aplt and Agamudi Kumara Swami Dikshit, one of the respts, present. At the request of the parties, case is adjd. in gume. Date will be intimated later on.

Lee
11.4.17

Submd. with Dft. notices to the 13th July 1917

MV (SG)
20.6.17

appr
20/6/17

The Aplt was present in person and represented by his Advocate Mr D. Venkatakamayya. The respts were absent. The case was heard by the Members and order reserved.

13/7/1917

27/7/17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Luang File No. 346 of 1916-17

Serial No.

Appl. no 14 of 1916-17.
Luang. File no 34

Order

The appl- is a man of [illegible] an uncle of Lunga [illegible] criminal [illegible]. On the death of the latter, his [illegible] Lunga [illegible] the possession of the office in [illegible] 1874 & held it [illegible] 1897 and after him, his brother Nagin- which held the [illegible] till his death in December 1911. Now, the office in [illegible] was held devolving to the appeals of the criminal [illegible] & these two brothers from 1874 to 1911

The claims of the appl- [illegible] but, [illegible] claim [illegible] & [illegible] adverse - He has [illegible] no reason why he should [illegible] his [illegible] The appeal is dismissed

13/10/17

18/10/17

18.10.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 346 of 1916-17

Serial No.	
	Subsidy. Bills 2 of 5
	W(93) 26-10-17 Secy)
	Appd 27/10/17
	Appd 25/10/17.
	Remarks
4	No 1051 dt 5.11.17, Secy Mysore, For him a copy of the GO passed in the case directing the dismissal of the appl petn
	No: 1052 dt 5.11.17, informing him of the above two & his Advocate thereof Dlgs



Bar once 27/6

I Re 27/6

2 Re Stamp
1 Encl
1 Vakalat with 2 Rs stamp
anm
7.7.16

37

10/16 10 17
Mur
346-16
1

Before the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Mysore appeal petition No
15-16

Rebumitted with the signature
Venkataramaiah
vacated by clerk 19/1/17
for VH
lia
19/1/17
1168
6 17
Mur

Appellant:
Devaradittaya
Agamik of Sri
Srikanteshwara Swami
Nanjangud.

The petitioner above named respectfully begs to appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, No 105 dated 27th March 1916, for the following amongst other reasons:—

That the Government proceedings 2666/711-11-10 dated 2-4-1914, were not binding on the appellant in as much as he was no party to those proceedings.

2. That the remarks against

the appellants rights were probably incidental and that the lower Court erred in relying upon them.

3. That the lower Court erred in not going into the merits of the appellants' case and in rejecting the appellants' claim.

4. That the present holders of office have no 'stake' to the pujary office and that their enjoyment is only permissive.

5. That the appellants' undivided ancestral house was given as security and that it is still held as security.

6. That there was no proof on the previous occasions before Government to arrive at the Conclusion that the appellants had lost his right by adverse enjoyment.

Bangalore
27, June 1916

Humbly prayed
for appellant

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2606.
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಂಟೋನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರ ಕಛೇರಿ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 24-3-1916

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸಿ. ಎಸ್. ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಅವರು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಂಟೋನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2606/711-11-ಎ ದಿನಾಂಕ 2-4-1914 ನೇ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಭೆಗಳು ನಡೆಯುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಷೇಧಿಸಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಆ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯು ಇಂದಿಗೆ ಕೊನೆಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮೆರವಣಿಗೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಭೆಗಳು ನಡೆಯುವುದನ್ನು ನಿಷೇಧಿಸಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲು ಸಕಾರಣಗಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಇನ್ನೂ ಒಂದು ತಿಂಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಅಂದರೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 10-7-1916 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನವರೆಗೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅವರು ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ನಿಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ
ಸಹಿ/-
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಂಟೋನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರು



IN THE COURT OF THE Government

Indian Palace

OF

Misc. Appeal CASE NO. OF 1915 - 1916

Appellant

Devaroo Deekshata

VS

1 I the appellant above named do, by these presents retain Mr. D. Venkatramiah Advocate to appear for and conduct the above case on my behalf to Judgment.

2 further empower him to execute any decree, or order that may be passed in behalf, and to receive, from court such sums of money as may be entitled to get and to grant receipts therefore.

3 I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said adv. in so doing.

ದೇವರೂ ದೀಕ್ಷಿತರು

Dated 24 — 6 — 1916

Accepted

Hm Matavamalle
adv for dft
27/6/14

Signed before me and personally known to me

Puryakar
Sub Registrar
T. Narasipur
24/6/16

S. K. P. PRESS, MYSORE.

Presented by
Devan Dikshitar 10.4.17.

1675 A
16 17
Muz

Before the Council to the Govt
of H. H. The Maha Rajah of Mysore
Bangalore

Muz. Appeal No: of 16-17

appellant Respondent
Devarao Deekshata vs Subbanna.

The appellant above-named most humbly begs to submit as follows:—

1. That the above appeal has been posted for hearing to-day.
2. That the appellant's advocate Mr. D. Venkataramiah is absent from Bangalore.
3. That as the civil courts are all closed for the Mid-Summer Vacation he is not likely to return soon.
4. That if his advocate had notice of the hearing, he would have been present to-day.
5. The appellant therefore prays that this Honorable Council would be pleased to grant him a short adjournment so as to enable him to appear with his advocate

Bangalore
10th April 1917

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು

1504

W.P. ~~1824~~ dt. 12-12-16

W.A. ~~726-16~~ dt. 12-12-16 with enclosures

Under Secret

G.R.S. 27] 13/13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

The petition is returned to Mr. D. Venkataramanayya, Advocate, for obtaining the party's signature thereto

B-o

12.12.16

W.A. 1504/16 ann 12/12/16

Jr. Asst. Secretary

(Calls for the entire Vern. record relating to the Archakship of Sri Srikantheswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud

File No. 126

Serial No.

G. R. S. 26]

Diary No. 12/2 of letter replied to .....

No. 2047

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Dy. Commr.,
Mysore Dist.

Date of issue 13. 2. 917

Signed by M. Thavanandiah

Sir,

I am directed to state that the petition of Ganiga Devana Dikshit of Nanjangud appealing against the Dy. Commr's. order regarding the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud is posted for hearing before Govt. on 3rd March next and to request that you will

over.

SUBJECT.

food ought to send up the entire vernacular records bearing on the case so as to reach this Office not later than the 28th instant.

I have &c.

Ha

9.2.17

MD am for Secretary.

8/2/17

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20 00—10-10 14

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

N 2048 D 13. 2. 1917.

Memo

Mr D. Venkataramayya Advocate is informed that the appeal petition presented on behalf of Agamita ~~Kumara Swami~~ Devara Dikshitar of Nanjangud regarding the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud is posted to the 3d March 1917 and that the case will be taken up at 12 noon that day.

B—o.

Rs
9.2

AT

and Dr Joint-Secretary.
8/2/17

GP B—20,000—7-7-14

GPB/12

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

19

From 28 FEB 1917

The Dy Commissioner
Mymensingh

To The General Secretary
Board
Mymensingh

Dated 26/2/17

Despatched 26-2-17.

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of my memo No. [illegible] of 6th Srikantheswar Municipality
Nanjangud.



cc of ca

Mysore District

Dated 26th February 1917.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, BA

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

To

D. M. Narasinga Rao, Esqre B.A. Bl

Secretary to the Govt of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore

General Department

Huzur Cutcherry

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 2047 Misc 346-16 dated 13th February 1917, calling for the entire vernacular records re the archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple at Nanjangud, I have the honor to submit herewith the records received with yadi No 758 dated 21st Instant but received here on the 26th Instant from the Asst Commissioner, Nanjangud Sub division.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedt servant

K Matthan

Dy Commissioner

Mysore Dist

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 14 of 1916 17

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Venara Dikshit. ~~Against~~ 1. Kumara Swamy Dikshit, Guardian of Minor Subraya
2. Subbamma ~~and Others~~.
and Others

Subject.

Appeal against order No. 105 dated 27th March 1916 ~~relating~~ of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt. to the Archak-ship of Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud

On the strength of observations contained in Govt order no 2606 dt 2.4.16 refusing to consider the Claims of appellant, as an agnate of the original Varavaradar,

Petition

The case was heard on 13th July 1917. The aplt was present in person and was represented by his Advocate m.r D. Venkataramayya, while the respondents were absent

The aplt is a son of

GPB—500—12-3-13

uncle of Linga Dikshit,
original baraweddars.
The death of the latter,
son-in-law one Gangadhara
Dikshit came into possession
The office in question
in 1879 and held it till
897 And after him, his
— Vanjunda Dikshit
The Archakship till
his death in 1911. Thus the
office in question was held
adversely to the agnates
of the original baraweddar
by these two brothers from
1879 to 1911.
The claims of the
appellant now put forward
are therefore clearly
time-barred and cannot
be admitted. He has
no reason why

G.R.S. 27|

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

he slept over his rights till now. the appeal is dismissed

Issued No. 1054 Dt 5-11-1917
mrz 346-16-4 Empire

Copy to the Dy. Commr. Mujfpur Dt. with the record received with his letter no 627 Dt 26th Feby. 1917.

B.O.
he
28.10.17

Asst Mag. Secy

DC

28/10/17

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No. 1052 — Dy 5 11 1917
Dms 346 16-4

Sub^t granted to Devara Dikshit (through his Advocate Mr D Venkatarao) in reference to his petition regd no 1165 Dy 29th June 1916 appealing against the order of the Dep. Commr, Mysore Dist, relating to the Archakship of Sri Srikanteswara Swamy Temple at Nanjangud.

In reply, the petitioner is informed that his claims now put forward are ~~clearly~~ time-barred and that ~~as he has shown~~ no reason ~~why~~ he kept ~~silent his rights till now~~, therefore his appeal is dismissed.

B.O.,
28.10.17

Joint Secy,

27/10/17